1 Joseph R. Meservy, Esq. Joanna M. Myers, Esq. NV State Bar No. 14088 Nevada Bar No. 12048 2 Barron & Pruitt, LLP Jonathan W. Fountain, Esq. 3890 West Ann Road Nevada Bar No. 10351 North Las Vegas, NV 89031 **HOWARD & HOWARD** 3 (702) 870-3940 ATTORNEYS PLLC JMeservy@lvnvlaw.com 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1000 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Robert J. Carlson (*Pro Hac Vice*) Telephone: (702) 257-1483 Caleb Hatch (*Pro Hac Vice*) Email: jmm@h2law.com 5 Lee & Hayes, P.C. Email: jwf@h2law.com 601 W. Riverside Ave., Ste. 1400 6 Spokane, WA 99201 Attorneys for Defendant Marlyn Ramirez (509) 324-9256 7 carlson@leehayes.com caleb.hatch@leehayes.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff Mike Fields 9 10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 11 MIKE FIELDS, an individual, 12 Case No. 2:21-cy-02102-CDS-EJY Plaintiff. 13 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO VS. EXTEND CASE MANAGEMENT **DEADLINES BY 60 DAYS AFTER THE** 14 MARLYN RAMIREZ, an individual, **COURT'S ORDERS ON PENDING MOTIONS** 15 Defendant. (Second Request) 16 Mike Fields ("Plaintiff") and Marlyn Ramirez ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties") 17 18 respectfully submit this Stipulation and Order to Extend Deadlines set forth in the Court's Order 19 granting the first Joint Motion to extend deadlines, (ECF No. 38), by 60 days after the Court's last 20 order on the pending Motion to Compel (ECF No. 42) and the pending Motion to Dismiss (ECF 2.1 No. 47), whichever occurs later. Good cause exists to grant this request. A. The Discovery Completed to Date: 22

The Complaint was filed on November 30, 2021 (ECF No. 1). Defendant, appearing pro

23

se filed her Answer to the Complaint on December 28, 2021 (ECF No. 14). Defendant filed a motion for pro bono referral on March 24, 2022 (ECF No. 23); which was denied on March 25, 2022 (ECF No. 24). On April 6, 2022, Defendant filed a motion for a stay of discovery (ECF No. 25), which was denied on May 5, 2022 (ECF No. 29). The Parties filed a Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order on May 12, 2022 (ECF No. 30), which was granted on May 13, 2022 (ECF No. 31). Defendant engaged counsel, and on August 17, 2022, counsel for Defendant entered a notice of appearance (ECF No. 34). Plaintiff filed a motion to compel the production of documents on December 2, 2022 (ECF No. 42). To date, the Parties have propounded the following discovery:

Date	Propounded Discovery	Response Date
6/16/2022	Plaintiff's First Set of Request for Production of	7/16/2022,
	Documents; First Set of Interrogatories; and First set of	extended to
	Requests for Admission	8/1/2022
8/8/2022	Defendant's First Set of Request for Production of	9/7/2022
	Documents and First set of Interrogatories	
9/7/2022	Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories	10/7/2022,
		extended to
		10/21/2022

B. The Discovery That Remains to be Completed:

The parties anticipate follow-up will be necessary concerning the discovery that has been propounded and responded to, to date including resolution of Plaintiff's pending motion to compel.

The parties also anticipate conducting additional written discovery and deposition discovery.

C. The Reasons Why Discovery Was Not Completed:

Discovery is not complete because the parties are presently engaged in a discovery dispute that is the subject of Plaintiff's pending motion to compel.

22 ///

23 ///

D. Proposed Schedule For Completing Remaining Discovery:

By this joint motion and stipulation, the Parties agree and request an extension of the case management deadlines for 60-days after entry of the Court's order on the Motion to Compel and the Motion to Dismiss, whichever occurs last, as follows:

Event	Current Deadline	Extended Deadline
Discovery Cut-off Date	11/30/2023	60-days after the Court's order
		on the Motion to Compel.
Dispositive Motions	3/1/2023	60-days after the Court's order
		on the Motion to Compel.
Pre-Trial Order	4/5/2023	60-days after the Court's order
		on the Motion to Compel.

E. Good Cause:

Good cause exists for the requested extension because both the Motion to Compel and the Motion to Dismiss are pending. If the action is not dismissed as a result of the Motion to Dismiss, the Motion to Compel will need to be decided and the parties anticipate the need to conduct follow-up written discovery and party depositions. If the action is dismissed as a result of the Motion to Dismiss, the requested extension of time will operate to conserve both party and judicial resources.

In addition, the Parties have engaged in settlement discussions in a good faith effort to resolve this dispute. Good cause also exists for the requested extension due to the time necessary to obtain approvals for offers, to allow time to prepare and finalize a settlement agreement, and to allow time to conduct a mediation or a judicial settlement conference – if necessary.

This request is not made for the purposes of delay and the parties represent that good cause exists to grant the requested extension.

Therefore, to conserve time and resources of the parties and the Court, and in good faith, the parties seek an extension of 60-days following the Court's last order on the Motion to Dismiss and the Motion to Compel.

1 IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED this 26th day of January 2023. 2 /s/ Caleb Hatch /s/Jonathan W. Fountain Caleb Hatch Joanna M. Myers, Esq. 3 Nevada Bar No. 12048 WSBA #51292 (Pro Hac Vice) Jonathan W. Fountain, Esq. Robert J. Carlson 4 Nevada Bar No. 10351 WSBA #18455 (Pro Hac Vice) **HOWARD & HOWARD** LEE & HAYES, P.C. 5 ATTORNEYS PLLC 601 W. Riverside Avenue, Suite 1400 Spokane, WA 99201 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1000 6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Phone: (509) 324-9256 Email: caleb.hatch@leehayes.com Telephone: (702) 257-1483 7 Email: jwf@h2law.com Email: jmm@h2law.com Joseph R. Meservy, Esq. 8 NV State Bar No. 14088 Attorneys for Defendant Marlyn Ramirez Barron & Pruitt, LLP 9 3890 West Ann Road North Las Vegas, NV 89031 (702) 870-3940 10 Email: JMeservy@lvnvlaw.com 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff 12 IT IS SO ORDERED: 13 14 15 16 DATED: January 27, 2023 17 18 19 20 21 22 23